Writing Proposals for Capacity Building

Funders want to improve organizations—not rescue them. Make sure you’re starting from a position of strength.

By Susan Chandler

Capacity building is a popular catchphrase these days, but for many nonprofits whose capacity presumably needs building, the term is as clear as mud. Organizations may be aware that there are granting available to support capacity building efforts, but they’re often confused as to just what qualifies—and what doesn’t. (For example, capacity building does not include program development or expansion; and it does not include capital projects, such as a new facility.) And even when organizations do understand the sorts of things that might interest funders, they often write proposals for these grants in a way that undermines rather than bolsters their case.

How do grantmakers themselves define capacity building? And what do their own proposal guidelines emphasize? Here are some examples:

David and Lucile Packard Foundation: Like many large foundations, Packard reserves capacity-building grants for organizations with which it has an established relationship. Packard’s Organizational Effectiveness grants—a special category of funding available to nonprofits that have already received Packard funds—support “well-defined projects to develop or refine skills, strategies, organizational systems and structures.” The intent is to promote “capable leaders, sound management, and strong governance” and to help grantees “clarify strategic and organizational challenges and define new management and governance strategies and structures.” Eligible projects include:

- Organizational assessment, evaluation of overall effectiveness, and strategic planning
- Board and/or staff development related to defined objectives for improvement in overall management and governance
- Fund development planning
- Succession planning
- External communications strategies
- Mergers and other restructuring efforts.

Wilburforce Foundation: A regional foundation that assists nonprofits in the Northwest, Wilburforce lists capacity building among its funding priorities. The foundation’s guidelines describe capacity-building grants as “strategic investments in your organization that increase its sustainability and effectiveness, including, but not limited to: strategic planning and evaluation; resource development, such as revenue diversification and major donor campaigns; membership development, such as recruitment and retention; technology improvements, such as computer and software upgrades, Internet advocacy, or new equipment; and board and staff development, such as training, workshops, or conferences.” The maximum grant award is $15,000. The foundation’s application form asks questions
such as "How would this grant help strengthen your organization?" and "One year from now, how will your organization have improved as a result of this grant?"

Blue Cross Blue Shield of Massachusetts Foundation: The foundation’s Catalyst Fund provides mini-grants (up to $2,500) to defray one-time expenses not recurring operating costs associated with activities that will "strengthen the organization's ability to fulfill its mission." The grants are reserved for community based organizations in Massachussetts that serve the health care needs of low income and uninsured residents. Eligible projects include community outreach, leadership development, strategic planning, board development, and staff training.

Environmental Support Center: ESC works with local, state and regional non profits involved with environmental justice issues. ESC has conducted an extensive study of capacity building, and defines it as "any intentional work of an organization that increases its ability to achieve its mission and build long-term organizational sustainability. This work involves improving an organization's operations, administration, and management" ESC's own Training and Organizational Assistance Program provides small grants for grassroots environmental groups to hire consultants, attend capacity-building training events, or receive technical assistance. Topics that are covered include board development, communications, computer skills, diversity issues, financial management, fundraising, human resources, leadership development, legal issues, marketing, media relations, membership development, organizing, strategic planning, technology issues, and volunteer management.

What can we deduce from all this? For one thing, capacity building should not be confused with capacity repair. The purpose of these grants is to help organizations make good operations better, not salvage pet projects or rehabilitate bad management. If your agency is careening out of control because your board and management have been asleep at the switch, don't expect these grantmakers to rescue you.

One reason that capacity building appeals to funders is that it affords an opportunity to leverage another popular catchphrase - limited resources. By helping you to improve your organization's systems and operations, they are strengthening your ability to serve your clients, which is, after all, what most funders are primarily interested in.

So even if you've come up with an exquisite design for computerizing your accounting system, or a terrific new fund development plan, or a marketing strategy that would make Madison Avenue green with envy, don't assume that you're a shoo-in for one of these grants. A credible plan is necessary, but unless it comes from a credible organization whose programs and services are clearly worth supporting, it has little chance of being funded.

Some funders have specific application guidelines or forms for capacity-building grants. If not, your proposal would logically follow the same format that TGCI has laid out for most grant proposals, though certain aspects require special attention. Let's look at what they are:
INTRODUCTION

The proposal Introduction, in which you establish your organization's credibility, is critically important. Remember - capacity building is ultimately about improving your agency's ability to fulfill its mission and deliver services. Proving your track record in that regard is a crucial first step.

This doesn't mean that you must paint a panoramic picture of all your past accomplishments. Be selective. If you already have relationships with funders - which is often a prerequisite to getting such grants - remind them why they funded your programs previously. Try to emphasize the kinds of programmatic activities that would benefit, directly or indirectly, from the improvements in systems or operations that you intend to make.

If some of the work you propose will be performed by outside consultants, establish their qualifications as well. Don't assume that everyone who hangs out a shingle as an "IT professional" or a "facilitator" is an expert. Prospective funders want to know why you're hiring this person and whether he or she is up to the task.

OBJECTIVES

In general, the Objectives for capacity-building grants won't be direct client outcomes, as they would be in most grant proposals. More likely, they will be "products," such as a strategic plan to guide the organization for the next five years; a new fund development plan; new accounting systems; a marketing plan; or a volunteer program complete with job descriptions, recruitment procedures, etc.

Still, you should try to relate these "products" to the benefits that they will stimulate - including, if possible, client outcomes. In the case of a new volunteer program, for example, you might be able to provide adult literacy tutoring for 100 more clients each year. If your new fund development plan broadens the organization's funding base, indicate the specific programs or services you'll be able to offer as a result.

METHODS

The Methods section of a grant proposal tells you how you plan to achieve the intended results. For capacity-building proposals, you might describe how a new system will be created and maintained. Be sure to describe any new staff or consultants you'll need to hire, and demonstrate that they are capable of accomplishing the desired outcomes/products. To whom will they report? If new management systems are being added, how will they be integrated into or replace existing systems, and how will staff be trained in their use?

If you are adding or updating technology, describe how it will be implemented and how it will fit with your current technology operations.
EVALUATION

How will you determine if the improvements to your system or infrastructure actually resulted in a stronger organization? And how will you measure the impact on client services?

Your annual audit is an obvious tool for evaluating fiscal improvements. Other sort of products may be harder to evaluate, but it’s no less important to have a plan for assessing their ultimate value.

FUTURE FUNDING

If you are hiring new staff, how will you continue to pay their salaries and related expenses? If you are purchasing new technology or equipment, how will you pay for its ongoing operation and maintenance? Some foundations ask for a business plan covering several years to demonstrate sustainability. Even if they don’t require something that elaborate, it’s a good idea to show that you’ve given due consideration to any attendant or future costs of the project you’re proposing.

For an example of what funders themselves look for, see the Myer Memorial Trust’s report on the long-range impact of grants they had given to support organizations’ fund development efforts in Oregon.
http://www.mmt.org/index.php